Module 13: Multiple Membership Multilevel Models

Stata Practical

George Leckie Centre for Multilevel Modelling

Pre-requisites

• Modules 1-5,11,12

Contents

Introduction to the Patient Satisfaction Dataset2				
P13.1 Ex	amining and Describing the Data	5		
P13.1.1	P13.1.1 Exploring the multiple membership data structure			
P13.1.2 Summarising the response and predictor variables		11		
P13.2 A /	Aultiple Membership Model of Satisfaction	15		
P13.2.1	Specifying and fitting the multiple membership model	15		
P13.2.2	Interpretation of the model output	18		
P13.2.3 Calculating coverage intervals, variance partition coefficients (VPCs and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs)				
P13.2.4 Predicting and examining nurse effects		23		
P13.3 Ex	ploring Alternative Multiple Membership Weighting Schemes	29		
P13.4 Ad	ding Predictor Variables	33		
P13.4.1	Adding patient level predictor variables	33		
P13.4.2	Adding nurse level predictor variables	35		
P13.5 Ad	ding Random Coefficients	38		
P13.5.1	Adding cross-level interactions	39		
Further Reading				
References				

If you find this module helpful and wish to cite it in your research, please use the following citation:

Leckie, G. (2013). Multiple Membership Multilevel Models - Stata Practical. LEMMA VLE Module 13, 1-42. http://www.bristol.ac.uk/cmm/learning/course.html

Address for correspondence:

George Leckie Centre for Multilevel Modelling University of Bristol 35 Berkeley Square Bristol, BS8 1JA UK

g.leckie@bristol.ac.uk

Introduction to the Patient Satisfaction Dataset

We will analyse simulated data based on a fictitious patient survey carried out in a local hospital. In this fictitious survey, hip replacement patients were asked to score how satisfied they were with the level of care provided to them by hospital nurses during their recent hospital stay. We expect nurses to play an important role in the scores patients give with patients reporting more favourably when cared for by certain nurses. Ultimately, the hospital wants to learn what it is about these nurses which results in patients reporting better hospital experiences. Armed with such knowledge, the hospital would then like to implement additional training for all nurses with the ultimate goal of improving all patients' hospital experiences.

During the course of their hospital stays, most patients were cared for by multiple nurses and so the data are more complex than a simple two-level hierarchy of patients nested within nurses. Rather the data are characterised by a nonhierarchical multiple membership structure whereby patients (level 1) are multiple members of nurses (level 2).

The simulated data consist of 1,000 patients who, between them, were cared for by 25 nurses. Four hundred patients were cared for by only one nurse during their stay, 300 patients were cared for by two nurses, 200 patients by three nurses, and 100 patients by four nurses. The data record which nurses cared for which patients.

The response variable is a continuous measure of patients' overall satisfaction with the care they received during their hospital stay. The data also record a continuous measure of patients' likelihood of having a successful operation calculated at a preoperative assessment clinic. The score is based on patients' current medical fitness, their medical history and any other factors that might lead to complications with their operation. Both scores are standardised to have zero means and variances of one.

The multilevel modelling routines in different software packages require data describing the multiple membership structure (i.e. the nurse identifiers and the proportion of time each patient is cared for by each nurse) to be stored in one of two different forms: *compact* form or *wide* form.¹ Both forms have one row per patient, but store the multiple membership information using different sets of variables. In this dataset, we store these data twice, once in each form so that we can contrast the two approaches.

Compact form consists of two sets of variables: the multiple membership ID variables **n1st** to **n4th** which record the first, second, third and fourth nurse that cared for each patient and the associated multiple membership weight variables **p1st** to **p4th**

¹ For example, the multilevel modelling classical estimation routines in MLwiN require these data to be in wide form, while the Bayesian routines in MLwiN only accept these data in compact form. The multilevel modelling routines in Stata and R only accept the multiple membership information in wide form.

which record the proportion of time cared for by each of these nurses.² Wide form carries the same information in one set of variables, **p1** to **p25**, which records the proportion of time each patient is cared for by each of the 25 nurses.³ For example, **p1** reports the proportion of time cared for by nurse 1, **p2** the proportion of time cared for by nurse 2, and so on, up until **p25**, the proportion of time cared for by nurse 25, the final nurse.

The data contain a single nurse level variable, a measure of how happy nurses feel about their jobs. This nurse level variable is also stored in the dataset in both compact (h1st, h2nd, h3rd and h4th) and wide (h1 to h25) forms.

Variable name	Description and codes					
patient	Patient ID					
satis	Patient postoperative satisfaction score. Scores are (approximately) standardised to have a mean of zero and a variance of one, with a higher score indicating a more satisfied patient.					
assess	Patient preoperative assessment score. Scores are standardised to have a mean of zero and a variance of one, with a higher score indicating a patient that was assessed to be more likely to have a successful operation.					
nurses	Number of nurses seen by patient					
n1st	Nurse ID for patient's 1st nurse					
n2nd	12nd Nurse ID for patient's 2nd nurse					
n3rd Nurse ID for patient's 3rd nurse						
n4th	Nurse ID for patient's 4th nurse					
p1st	Prop. time cared for by 1st nurse					
p2nd	Prop. time cared for by 2nd nurse					
p3rd	Prop. time cared for by 3rd nurse					
p4th	Prop. time cared for by 4th nurse					
h1st	Happiness score for 1st nurse					
h2nd	Happiness score for 2nd nurse					
h3rd	Happiness score for 3rd nurse					
h4th	Happiness score for 4th nurse					
p1	Prop. time cared for by nurse 1					
p2	Prop. time cared for by nurse 2					

The dataset contains the following variables

 $^{^2}$ Had the maximum number of nurses seen by any patient been five rather than four, then there would have been five multiple membership ID variables and five associated multiple membership weight variables rather than four.

³ In this example wide form is a less efficient means of storage than compact form as it takes 25 variables to store the multiple membership information compared to eight variables for compact form.

Module 13 (Stata Practical): Multiple Membership Multilevel Models

:	:			
p25	Prop. time cared for by nurse 25			
h1	Happiness score for nurse 1			
h2	Happiness score for nurse 2			
:	:			
h25	Happiness score for nurse 25			

P13.1 Examining and Describing the Data

Load '13.1.dta' into memory and open the do-file for this lesson

From within the LEMMA learning environment

- Go to Module 13: Multiple Membership Multilevel Models, and scroll down to Stata files
- Click '13.1.dta' to open the dataset

and use the describe command to produce a summary of the dataset

. describe						
Contains data obs: vars: size: 2	from 13. 1,000 66	1.dta		25 Jul 2012 14:43		
3120, 2						
variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label		
patient	int	%9.0g		Patient ID		
satis	float	%3.2f		Satisfaction Score		
assess	float	%9.0g		Assessment Score		
nurses	byte	%9.0g		Number of nurses seen by patient		
nlst	byte	%9.0g		Nurse ID for patient's 1st nurse		
n2nd	byte	%9.0g		Nurse ID for patient's 2nd nurse		
n3rd	byte	%9.0g		Nurse ID for patient's 3rd nurse		
n4th	byte	%9.0g		Nurse ID for patient's 4th nurse		
plst	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by 1st nurse		
p2nd	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by 2nd nurse		
p3rd	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by 3rd nurse		
p4th	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by 4th nurse		
hlst	float	%3.2f		Happiness score for 1st nurse		
h2nd	float	%3.2f		Happiness score for 2nd nurse		
h3rd	float	%3.2f		Happiness score for 3rd nurse		
h4th	float	%3.2f		Happiness score for 4th nurse		
p1	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 1		
p2	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 2		
р3	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 3		
p4	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 4		
p5	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 5		
рб	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 6		
p7	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 7		
p8	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 8		
p9	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 9		
p10	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 10		
p11	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 11		
p12	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 12		
p13	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 13		
pl4	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 14		
p15	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 15		
p16	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 16		
pl/	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 1/		
p18	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 18		
p19	float	%9.Ug		Prop. time cared for by nurse 19		
p20	float	%9.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 20		
p21	ILOAT	⊲>.∪g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 21		
p22	float	%A.∩d		Prop. time cared for by nurse 22		
p23	float	≈3.0g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 23		
p24	ILOAT	⊲>.∪g		Prop. time cared for by nurse 24		
p25 b1	float	∛7.Ug %2.0£		Prop. time carea for by nurse 25		
nı	Iloat	₹J.∠I		Happiness score for nurse 1		

This document is only the first few pages of the full version.

To see the complete document please go to learning materials and register:

http://www.cmm.bris.ac.uk/lemma

The course is completely free. We ask for a few details about yourself for our research purposes only. We will not give any details to any other organisation unless it is with your express permission.